Clustering-Learning Approach to the Localization of Leaks in Water Distribution Networks

3	Luis Romero ¹ , Joaquim Blesa ² , Vicenç Puig ³ , and Gabriela Cembrano ⁴
4	¹ Institut de Robòtica i Informàtica Industrial (CSIC-UPC). Carrer Llorens Artigas, 4-6, 08028
5	Barcelona, Spain. Email: luis.romero.ben@upc.edu (corresponding author)
6	² Dr.Eng. Institut de Robòtica i Informàtica Industrial (CSIC-UPC). Carrer Llorens Artigas, 4-6,
7	08028 Barcelona, Spain; Supervision, Safety and Automatic Control Research Center (CS2AC) of
8	the Universitat Politécnica de Catalunya, Campus de Terrassa, Gaia Building, Rambla Sant
9	Nebridi, 22, 08222 Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain. Email: joaquim.blesa@upc.edu
10	³ Dr.Eng. Institut de Robòtica i Informàtica Industrial (CSIC-UPC). Carrer Llorens Artigas, 4-6,
11	08028 Barcelona, Spain; Supervision, Safety and Automatic Control Research Center (CS2AC) of
12	the Universitat Politécnica de Catalunya, Campus de Terrassa, Gaia Building, Rambla Sant
13	Nebridi, 22, 08222 Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain. Email: vicenc.puig@upc.edu
14	⁴ Dr.Eng. Institut de Robòtica i Informàtica Industrial (CSIC-UPC). Carrer Llorens Artigas, 4-6,
15	08028 Barcelona, Spain. Email: gabriela.cembrano@upc.edu

16 ABSTRACT

1

2

Leak detection and localization in water distribution networks (WDNs) is of great significance for water utilities. This paper proposes a leak localization method that requires hydraulic measurements and structural information of the network. It is composed by an image encoding procedure and a recursive clustering/learning approach. Image encoding is carried out using Gramian Angular Field (GAF) on pressure measurements to obtain images for the learning phase (for all possible leak scenarios). The recursive clustering/learning approach divides the considered region of the network into two sets of nodes using Graph Agglomerative Clustering (GAC), and trains a deep

neural network (DNN) to discern the location of each leak between the two possible clusters,
using each one of them as inputs to future iterations of the process. The achieved set of DNNs is
hierarchically organized to generate a classification tree. Actual measurements from a leak event
occurred in a real network are used to assess the approach, comparing its performance with another
state-of-the-art technique, and demonstrating the capability of the method to regulate the area of
localization depending on the depth of the route through the tree.

30 INTRODUCTION

Nowadays water distribution networks (WDNs) are critical infrastructures in cities. Their 31 efficient operation can reduce water losses, which are estimated to account for a 30% of the total 32 amount of distributed water (Puust et al. 2010), producing high associated operational costs, as 33 well as environmental (Xu et al. 2014) and sanitary (LeChevallier et al. 2003) problems. Thus, 34 leak detection and localization approaches are widely researched (see (Chan et al. 2018) for an 35 extensive review). The different solutions can be classified considering several aspects. One of the 36 most important classifications separates model-based approaches from data-driven methodologies. 37 Model-based techniques rely on the estimation of hydraulic dynamics using mathematical 38 models (Savić et al. 2009). A leak localization approach using pressure sensors, proposed in Pérez 39 et al. (2014), compares pressure disturbances caused by a leak with a fault signature matrix obtained 40 by means of hydraulic model simulations. This sensitivity analysis is also exploited in Sophocleous 41 et al. (2019), included into a two-phase approach that uses search-space reduction to decrease the 42 number of decision variables and their range of values, and an optimization strategy that solves the 43 detection and localization problem. Another solution, presented in Sanz et al. (2015), compares 44 calibrated parameters with historical values to find changes produced by a leak. 45

However, while model-based methods can work effectively under ideal conditions, their performance is limited by the availability and accuracy of the mathematical models (Menapace et al.
2018). Hydraulic models may contain structural modelling errors, nodal demand uncertainties and
measurements noise (Blesa and Pérez 2018). Besides, the high computational cost and the uncertainty in parameter estimation hinder the application of these approaches. These drawbacks are

gradually overcome by the appearance of machine learning and data-driven procedures, which are
 based on the mining of knowledge from the available data, gathered by installed sensor networks.

These methods typically use pressure sensors due to their lower cost in comparison to other 53 metering devices. In Han et al. (2018), a two-phase strategy is used to estimate the complete 54 state of the WDN from hydraulic heads at certain nodes by means of a Gauss-Newton Belief 55 Propagation inference scheme and to decompose the network to locate the leak. A deep-learning 56 (DL) framework is proposed in Zhou et al. (2019) to locate bursts using data from pressure sensors. 57 More recently, Soldevila et al. (2020) proposed a leak localization method that interpolates the 58 pressure at every node of the network from the measured values, comparing leak and leak-free 59 scenarios to locate the leak and using Dempster-Shafer reasoning to deal with uncertainty. 60

Other methodologies deal with additional types of sensors, mostly flow meters. A data-driven method, proposed in Arifin et al. (2018), applies the concept of Kantorovich distance to detect and locate leaks from flow rates and pressure measurements. In Navarro et al. (2019), a real time leak localization method using Time Delay Neural Networks and flow/pressure measurements is presented. A combined artificial neural network method is presented in Pérez-Pérez et al. (2021) to perform leak diagnosis in pipes considering pressure and flow data.

Finally, other works deal with less common types of sensors. In Kang et al. (2017), data from accelerometers is used to feed a two-stage approach, based on a convolutional neural network (CNN) - support vector machine (SVM) architecture that detect leaks and a graph method based on virtual nodes for their location. Besides, Huang et al. (2020) presents an efficient multistage leak localization method that uses valve operations (VOs) to divide the demand metering area (DMA) into two regions and water balance analysis based on smart demand meters to locate the leaks.

This paper proposes a leak localization method based on the use of the network topology and pressure data from some inner nodes. The pressure information is encoded in the form of images, in order to exploit the power of classical image-classification DL techniques. In this way, the degree of freedom in the implementation of the method is higher, regarding the available software languages and platforms. The localization operation is performed by means of a recursive clustering/learning ⁷⁸ procedure. The structural information of the WDN is used by the clustering process to split a ⁷⁹ certain (sub)network into two clusters of disjoint nodes, generating a set of binary labels. The ⁸⁰ hydraulic images, together with the produced labels, feed a training process which must produce ⁸¹ a deep neural network (DNN) that indicates which one of the two generated clusters contains the ⁸² leak. The recursivity affects the clustering stage, since these clusters are provided as inputs of the ⁸³ explained process for subsequent iterations.When all the generated DNNs are organized depending ⁸⁴ on the hierarchy of their (sub)network, a classification tree is produced.

In comparison with previous DL-related works like Javadiha et al. (2019), a smart application 85 of the image-encoding process allows to limit the size of the images to be fed to the DL algorithm, 86 thus reducing the computational cost. Moreover, Moreover, it is guaranteed that the set of possible 87 candidates for the leak location are connected, due to the clustering stage dividing the (sub)network 88 into connected components. This feature provides a secondary advantage: if a hydraulic simulator 89 is not available to obtain the necessary pressure data for the training phase (hence using the strategy 90 as a mixed model-based/data-driven approach), leak experiments can be performed over certain 91 points of the real network after defining a set of subnetworks that will be the targets of the DNN 92 classification tree. 93

Additionally, the hierarchical structure of this classification tree allows to tackle one of the 94 main problems of learning-based leak localization methods: the similar effect of neighbouring 95 leaks in the hydraulic behaviour of the WDN. When this similitude affects a group of nodes, 96 it may become even impossible to discern the origin of the leak among them. The proposed 97 recursive clustering/learning approach handles this behaviour by retrieving information about the 98 classification limitations (directly related to the leaks resemblance) from the training performance 99 results at each level of the classification tree. Thus, the hierarchically organized DNNs of the trained 100 tree are applied to new samples if and only if the training accuracy was high enough. In this way, 101 an ad hoc solution for the studied WDN would be obtained, as it is adaptable to its characteristics 102 and limitations from the leak localization point of view. This fact demonstrates the qualitative 103 improvement at the leak localization solution that the proposed method offers in comparison with 104

strategies that lack this flexibility and hence provide unreliable solutions if the sensors amount
 and/or precision are not appropriate.

Furthermore, some of the implemented techniques are adapted from their original design to efficiently and effectively tackle their specific tasks, hence implying novelty in their exploitation.

109 METHODOLOGY

The proposed approach requires considering a set of assumptions, that should be fulfilled in order to proceed with the method application:

- Pressure sensors are installed in a set of inner nodes. Besides, topological information about
 the network must be available, i.e., the junctions connectivity and the pipes length.
- Records of pressure measurements are available for all possible leak cases. Since this
 requirement may not be practical, these records could be obtained using a hydraulic simulator
 and/or performing artificial leak experiments over a set of locations of the network. However,
 this records are only needed at the off-line training stage.
- Due to the previous requirement, only single-leak scenarios are considered. The analysis of multi-leak episodes would require data about every combination of leaks. Besides, the leaks are supposed to appear at the network nodes, as usually considered in the literature (Blesa and Pérez 2018; Sophocleous et al. 2019).
- The leak detection process is handled by an external method so that the proposed localization
 technique is applied after a detection event occurs. A typical approach to detect the presence
 of leaks consists of tracking changes in the night consumptions (Puust et al. 2010).

The following subsections describe the details of the methodology components and then the general procedure thereof.

127 Hydraulic Data Encoding

The installation of pressure sensors allows to collect hydraulic information of the network state. These measurements are converted into hydraulic heads by adding the elevation of each junction,

as these values are important to determine the availability of water service. The hydraulic heads
 are stored into data vectors (with a component for each sensor) that can be gathered at each time
 interval, considering the sampling time of the measuring devices.

Besides, as mentioned above, information about the widest possible range of leak scenarios is required, either from a hydraulic simulator or from artificial leak experiments at the real network. Concretely, considering the importance of the size of a leak in its effect on the WDN behaviour, the strategy that must be followed to handle different leak sizes must be adapted to the hydraulic information source:

On the one hand, if a hydraulic simulator is available, different leak scenarios can be derived considering an estimated leak rate value, which can be obtained from an external leak detection method, as most of these approaches handle the estimation of the leak size.

On the other hand, proper artificial leak experiments could be performed over the real WDN,
 considering that water utilities are usually interested in a concrete range of leak sizes that
 depends on the operational characteristics of their network.

The achieved hydraulic measurements can be arranged in a multidimensional matrix **H** that stores the gathered data vectors: the value h_{ij}^l would represent the hydraulic head at time instant *i* of sensor *j* at leak scenario *l*, i.e., the leak is located at node *l*. The total number of time steps, sensors and possible leak scenarios will be referred to as *M*, *N* and *P* respectively. To highlight the time dependency, the notation h_{ij}^l is henceforth substituted by $h_j^l(i)$. Thus, a single data vector from the multidimensional matrix **H** can be referred to as:

$$\mathbf{h}^{l}(i) = [h_{1}^{l}(i), h_{2}^{l}(i), \dots, h_{i}^{l}(i), \dots, h_{N}^{l}(i)]$$
(1)

where each vector $\mathbf{h}^{l}(i)$ corresponds to a collection of the hydraulic heads of the *N* sensors for time instant *i* and leak scenario *l*.

The purpose of the data encoding process consists of converting the dataset **H** composed by $M \cdot P \text{ vectors } \mathbf{h}^{l}(i) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \text{ into a image set } \mathbf{L} \text{ comprised by } M \cdot P \text{ images } L^{l}(i) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}.$ 155

156

172

173

174

175

176

The application of this process, despite the increase in the required memory space due to the use of images, is justified by two main advantages:

- In this way, the training stage can be tackled by means of standard DL techniques for image
 classification, and hence a wide variety of tools are available for this task.
- This procedure is handled by the Gramian Angular Field (GAF) method, presented in
 Wang and Oates (2015) (concretely, the Gramian Summation Angular Field approach is
 considered). It not only converts vectors into images, but also extracts correlations among
 the components of the vector, enhancing the information provided to the learning stage.

¹⁶³ However, the GAF technique has been adjusted to fulfil the requirements of a leak localization ¹⁶⁴ approach: the novelty of its application lies in the selection of the vectors that are converted into ¹⁶⁵ images. Despite its original design for the imaging of time-series, for this work, GAF is applied to ¹⁶⁶ the hydraulic data vectors $\mathbf{h}^{l}(i)$. This is justified by the following key points:

- A small number of measuring devices is available at most of the WDNs (Savić et al. 2009). The reduced length *N* of the hydraulic information vectors $\mathbf{h}^{l}(i)$ implies a low dimensionality of the generated images, greatly diminishing the computational cost of the learning phase. Thus, the major drawback of the original usage of GAF is removed and the application of post-processing techniques to reduce the image size is not required.
 - The proposed selection of data vectors $\mathbf{h}^{l}(i)$ entails the training of the different DNNs with a wide variety of time instants, hence generalizing the neural network learning by inducing an independence of dynamical variables like the nodal demands.
 - Besides, considering the opposite situation, the selection of time-series as the data vectors for the imaging process (that is, the vectors h^l_i of H), would imply some drawbacks:
- 1771. The selection of a suitable time window T < M (to split the complete time-series178into a set of time slots) would require analysing the length of the smallest set of time179instants whose information is rich enough to explain the leak location.

2. The collection of *T*-dimensional time-series would be required to feed the leak
 localization algorithm. This implies the existence of a minimum necessary time
 lapse to achieve a single localization.

GAF exploits the angular perspective of the polar-encoded hydraulic information. Thus, each input vector is rescaled to a range of values between -1 and 1 to fit the image of the cosine function. The vector $\mathbf{h}^{l}(i)$ is processed as follows:

$$\tilde{h}_{j}^{l}(i) = \frac{2h_{j}^{l}(i) - \left(\max(\mathbf{h}^{l}(i)) + \min(\mathbf{h}^{l}(i))\right)}{\max(\mathbf{h}^{l}(i)) - \min(\mathbf{h}^{l}(i))}$$
(2)

$$\phi_j^l(i) = \arccos(\tilde{h}_j^l(i)) \tag{3}$$

By considering the trigonometric sum between each pair of polar-encoded head values, the associated GAF image is generated:

$$L^{l}(i) = \left[cos(\phi_{a}^{l}(i) + \phi_{b}^{l}(i)) \right] = \left(\tilde{\mathbf{h}}^{l}(i) \right)' \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{h}}^{l}(i) - \left(\sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{N} - (\tilde{\mathbf{h}}^{l}(i))^{2}} \right)' \cdot \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{N} - (\tilde{\mathbf{h}}^{l}(i))^{2}}$$
(4)

where a and b are possible values of j and $\mathbf{1}_N$ is the unit row vector of length N.

The resulting image $L^{l}(i)$ is a $N \times N$ matrix whose a - b component encodes the relation between the heads at the sensorized nodes a and b. Each $L^{l}(i)$ is processed to scale its values in the range 0-255 to use the standard format of an image, achieving the rescaled dataset $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$.

¹⁹² Recursive Clustering/Learning

Once the image set $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ has been generated, the recursive clustering/learning stage uses this information, as well as the WDN topology, to produce the previously mentioned classification tree.

¹⁹⁵ *Clustering stage*

In order to manage the topology of a network, let us model its structure by means of a graph represented as $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C})$. \mathcal{V} stands for the set of nodes/junctions and \mathcal{C} is the set of edges/pipes. A node is represented as $v_x \in \mathcal{V}$ while $e_{xy} = (v_x, v_y) \in \mathcal{C}$ denotes an edge connecting v_x and v_y . Nodes from \mathcal{V} are said to be adjacent if they are connected by edges in \mathcal{C} . Each edge e_{xy} is associated to a weight w_{xy} , and hence a weighted adjacency matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ can be constructed:

$$w_{ij} = \begin{cases} c_{ij}, & \text{if } e_{ij} \in \mathcal{C} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(5)

where c_{ij} represents the length of the pipe connecting junctions v_i and v_j .

An extended version of W that stores the relation among all the nodes in the network can be derived: a non-adjacent pair of nodes, i.e., $e_{xy} = (v_x, v_y) \notin \mathcal{E}$, is given an estimated weight \hat{w}_{xy} , computed as the sum of the weights of the edges composing the shortest path (see Festa (2006) for an extensive review about algorithms for its computation) from v_x to v_y , and this translates to the sum of the lengths of the pipes in this shortest path. Thus, it can be regarded as a pairwise distance matrix, referred to as $\hat{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$.

The structural information stored in \hat{W} is exploited by the Graph Agglomerative Clustering (GAC) method, developed in Zhang et al. (2013) to segment the nodes of a graph into clusters. Basically, the GAC approach performs an operation composed of three main phases:

- 2121. A k-nearest-neighbours (k-NN) graph (see the introduction in Wang et al. (2012) for a213formal definition) is generated from the provided pairwise distance matrix \hat{W} by means of214the computation of an asymmetric weighted adjacency matrix.
- 215
 2. A set of small clusters is computed considering the *weakly connected components* (Pem 216
 217
 218
 218
 219
 219
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 211
 211
 212
 213
 214
 214
 215
 215
 216
 216
 216
 217
 218
 218
 219
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210
 210</lit
- 217
 3. Those clusters are iteratively merged into larger ones until the settled number of clusters is
 218 reached, selecting the two clusters with the highest affinity at each iteration.

In this work, *graph average linkage* (Sokal and Michener 1958) is chosen as the agglomerative clustering algorithm that handles the affinity comparison. It uses the edge weights of the k-NN graph as the similarity metric, averaging the values between clusters.

Once the clustering procedure is performed, two disjoint sets of nodes are obtained, i.e., $\mathfrak{X}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ holding that $\mathfrak{X}_1 \cap \mathfrak{X}_2 = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{X}_1 \cup \mathfrak{X}_2 = \mathcal{V}$. Assigning a different label to each subset, a label or target vector $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ can be derived:

$$t_{x} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v_{x} \in \mathcal{L}_{1} \\ 0, & \text{if } v_{x} \in \mathcal{L}_{2} \end{cases} \quad \forall x = 1, ..., N$$

$$(6)$$

The GAC process, as explained for graph $\mathscr{G} = (\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{E})$ (corresponding to the complete WDN), is also applicable to graph $\mathscr{G}_{\mathscr{X}} = (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{E}_{\mathscr{X}})$ (associated to a certain subset of nodes \mathscr{X}), hence allowing the recursiveness of the clustering strategy. Similarly, the pairwise distance matrix and the targets vector would be $\hat{W}_{\mathscr{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathscr{X}| \times |\mathscr{X}|}$ and $\mathbf{t}_{\mathscr{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathscr{X}|}$ respectively.

230 Several aspects about the implementation of the clustering strategy must highlighted due to 231 their importance with regard to the requirements of the leak localization method:

• Each clustering operation produces two clusters due to the binary classification approach.

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

- The pairwise distance matrix \hat{W} associates a higher cost to distance nodes than to close nodes.
- The value of parameter k directly influences the generation of the required k-NN graph by setting the size of the considered neighbourhoods. For each clustering operation, depending on the cardinality |𝔅| of the node set of graph 𝔅_𝔅, it is computed as follows:

$$k_{\mathcal{X}} = randi(|\mathcal{X}| - o) + o - 1 \tag{7}$$

where randi(X) is a function generating a pseudo random integer from 1 to X, and o is an offset, which must be set for the studied network, in order to discard possible values that are too close to 1 and X. 242 *Learning stage*

The above-described procedure provides the last ingredient for the learning phase. On the one hand, the complete dataset of images $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$, composed of $M \cdot P \quad N \times N$ samples, is obtained from the image encoding process. However, only $M \cdot |\mathfrak{X}|$ images would be applicable if considering an arbitrary iteration of the recursive clustering/learning approach that operates over $\mathscr{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$. Furthermore, considering that only M_{tr} time instants out of the M available ones are used for training purposes, the final number of training images corresponds to $M_{tr} \cdot |\mathfrak{X}|$.

On the other hand, a vector of labels $\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ was obtained from the clustering strategy. It must be repeated M_{tr} times to produce $\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{ext} \in \mathbb{R}^{M_{tr} \cdot |\mathcal{Z}|}$, which matches the length of the samples set.

Apart from the described training target set, the validation and testing sets must be generated. Whereas the training set is employed during the learning phase to update the DNN parameters, the validation set is used periodically in the same phase to assess the DNN accuracy. Finally, the testing set is employed to evaluate the final performance of the DNN, once trained. Their lengths would be $M_{val} \cdot |\mathcal{X}|$ and $M_{test} \cdot |\mathcal{X}|$ respectively, with $M_{val}, M_{test} < M$. These sets are used to train, validate and test DNNs as shown in Fig. 1.

The procedure is designed to be complete enough to extract features from the images and to use them to learn how to classify those images, as well as simple enough to reduce the computational cost of the training process. Additionally, the design is conceived to be general enough to be applicable to different networks, as the only configuration parameter that is related to the studied WDN is the number of sensors. The DL structure is composed by a set of three layers, associated to different roles during the learning process, namely:

- 263 264
- 1. Convolutional layer (*C* in Fig. 1): It applies sliding convolutional filters to its input, extracting key features that allow to assign a label to the analysed image (Murphy 2016).
- 265
 2. Batch normalization layer (*BN* in Fig. 1): It normalizes its inputs (outputs of the convolutional layer) over each input channel (there is one channel per filter in the associated convolution) and over a concrete mini-batch, i.e., the set of samples processed before updating the model parameters. This technique speeds up the training and reduces the dependence

on the initialization of the DNN. Besides, it adds a regularization effect due to the partition
 of the dataset in mini-batches and the associated parameter update only occurring after a
 complete mini-batch is analysed (Ioffe and Szegedy 2015).

272 273 3. ReLu layer: The ReLu layer applies a non-linear activation function to its inputs. It consists of a threshold operation, setting to zero any negative value (Agarap 2018).

After the instances of the presented set of layers, a fully connected network (FCN) is applied. It multiplies its input by a weight matrix and adds a bias vector, combining the features learned by the previous layers to identify larger patterns. Finally, a *softmax* layer (Bishop 2006) is used to compute the conditional probability of each one of the classes for the analysed sample.

278 **Procedure Overview**

The above-described elements and processes pursue the goal of the generation and subsequent application of a classification tree, formed by the hierarchical organization of the trained DNNs.

281 *Classification tree generation*

The generation of the classification tree is an off-line operation, carried out with pre-collected measurements and the available network topology, as summarised in Fig. 2.

The algorithm starts with a preprocessing stage, where the graph associated to the WDN structure is extracted, and the hydraulic measurements at the available historical dataset of leaks are converted into an image set, by means of the described image encoding process.

Then, the recursive clustering/learning operation is executed until the number of iterations 287 reaches a certain limit. Each iteration is repeated until the testing accuracy of the considered 288 DNN reaches a predefined threshold. Re-training may be performed to check the suitability of 289 the k parameter of the clustering algorithm, which is initially random to explore the range of 290 possible values while pursuing an effective performance of the DNN. For every generated k, the 291 corresponding (sub)network is clustered and the labels are obtained. Taking into account that the 292 image samples are already available and divided into learning (including training and validation) 293 and testing sets, the learning process is performed. If the testing accuracy is good enough, the 294

²⁹⁵ current iteration will be finalized by adding the subnetworks generated during the clustering at the
 ²⁹⁶ bottom of a queue that stores the subnetworks to be clustered in subsequent iterations.

Once the process is finished, all the trained DNNs are organized depending on their corresponding (sub)network, obtaining the classification tree: the result of the application of a certain DNN of the tree indicates the next DNN to be used, continuously functioning in this way from the first DNN, which operates over the complete WDN, to the desired depth.

The classification tree generation scheme has an additional advantage: the testing accuracy at the different levels of depth of the tree allows to gain crucial knowledge about the limitations of the leak localization process, regarding issues like the WDN structure and the sensorization properties, i.e., the amount, distribution and precision of the sensors. All the gathered information about these limitations allows the operator to decide a proper depth for the application of the tree.

306 *Classification tree application*

³⁰⁷ This procedure is represented by the flow chart of Fig. 3.

The application of the classification tree is carried out online, and hence hydraulic measurements are converted into an image that is provided to the trained classification tree in real-time, in order to locate an occurring leak once it has been detected. The depth to traverse through the tree is decided considering the gained knowledge about the limitations of the leak localization process.

Firstly, the top DNN of the tree is fed with the generated image, obtaining a label which indicates the subnetwork where the leak is located from the two possible clusters. This label indicates the next DNN to apply, and the process is repeated until the localization depth is reached. Then, the application of the final DNN provides the set of candidates where the leak can be located.

316 CASE STUDY

The application of the presented methodology is illustrated by means of a case study. It corresponds to a District Metering Area (DMA) (see Savić and Ferrari (2014) for extensive information about DMAs) from a real network referred to as E-Town. It is graphically represented in Fig. 4. The considered DMA is formed by 125 pipes and 120 inner nodes, of which 8 are equipped

with pressure sensors (pink stars at Fig. 4). The water supplied to the area is obtained from a single

water inlet (green square at Fig. 4.). The location of a real leak, that will be studied to show the methodology performance, is indicated with a red hexagram at Fig. 4.

324 Hydraulic Data Encoding For E-Town

In order to illustrate the image encoding process, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 respectively show the GAF images associated to eight nodes of E-Town and their locations, at a certain time instant.

As mentioned above, the approach requires hydraulic information of the complete range of possible leaks. In this work, EPANET 2 (Rossman 2000) is used to simulate the necessary leak scenarios. Since only eight sensors are installed, the associated images have a size of 8×8 . A pixel *ij* of the image encodes the relation between components *i* and *j* of the data vector.

The majority of the GAF images presented in Fig. 5 exhibit clear differences among them due 331 to the effect of the leaks on the readings of the different sensors. These differences are exploited 332 by the DL algorithm to discern the leak location between the possible clusters. However, in the 333 case of nodes 39 (Fig. 5c) and 58 (Fig. 5f), which correspond to rather close junctions, little 334 differences appear between their images. Both facts illustrate the suitability of an approach that 335 allows to regulate the localization area: the location at node-level is nearly impossible, due to the 336 extremely slight differences of the corresponding leak effects. Hence, a trade-off between location 337 accuracy and area must be considered while applying the classification tree in order to optimize the 338 methodology performance. 339

340 Recursive Clustering/Learning For E-Town

The iterative application of the clustering process up to a third level of depth is shown at Fig. 7. 341 The produced clusters clearly arise from a topological perspective, partitioning the (sub)networks 342 at links that connect distant nodes. However, it is interesting to remark the specific case of sub-343 networks 1.1 and 1.2.1 (referring to the numbering in Fig. 7, which are split into two sets that are 344 very different in size. This effect is produced by the explained re-training policy, which repeats 345 the learning stage of the corresponding iteration, modifying the k parameter of the clustering, in 346 search for a testing accuracy that reaches the desired threshold (a 95% in the cases at Fig. 7. 347 Thus, the clustering depends on the network structure, but it is also indirectly affected by the leak 348

³⁴⁹ information, since the learning phase also seeks to improve the localization.

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

- ³⁵⁰ For the learning stage, the DL architecture has been implemented using the MATLAB® Deep-³⁵¹ Learning Toolbox[™]. The learning process is configured by means of the following parameters:
- Solver: It specifies the algorithm to be used. Stochastic Gradient Descent with Momentum (Qian 1999) is selected, keeping the default momentum value of 0.9. In this way, the oscillations along the path of the steepest descent towards the optimal point are reduced.
- Learning rate: It is configured by means of four parameters, whose values are tuned and refined to maximize the classification accuracy:
 - Initial learning rate: It is the value at the start of the training and it is set to 0.01.
 - Learning rate schedule: It is set to decrease the learning rate piecewise during the training, after a certain number of epochs, by multiplying it by a factor. This is interesting to refine the learning process at the final steps.
 - Learning rate dropping period: It corresponds to the required number of epochs to drop the learning rate. It is settled to 8 epochs, to maintain each learning rate value a minimum number of iterations.
 - Learning rate dropping factor: It is the multiplicative factor that affects the learning rate every learning rate dropping period and it is configured to 0.9.
- Convolutional filter size: It settles the length of the square filters at the convolutional layers. These lengths are different for each one of the three instances of the set of layers presented in the explanation of Fig. 1, and they depend on the number of sensors *N* to facilitate the adaptation to different networks: $[\lfloor N/2 \rfloor \lfloor N/3 \rfloor \lfloor N/4 \rfloor]$.
- Number of filters: It corresponds to the number of filters at each one of the convolutional layers: [8, 16, 32].

The rest of available parameters are maintained as default. The image/label set is shuffled before every epoch in order to improve the regularizing effect of the batch normalization, as the

activation of a concrete image during training depends on the rest of images of the mini-batch. The
 division of the dataset at each learning operation includes a 75% for training, a 15% for validation
 and a 10% for testing purposes. The different neural networks composing the classification tree are
 trained by means of a NVIDIA GeForce MX130 GPU and a Intel® Core™ i7-8565U processor.

378 **RESULTS**

A real leak event was evaluated with the trained classification tree to evaluate the goodness of 379 the solution. The leak is estimated to have a size of 1.15 l/s, and hence the DNNs were trained with 380 hydraulic data obtained using EPANET2 and considering this leak size. The dataset of the real leak 381 pressure measurements is composed of 720 entries (that is, 24 hours with a sampling period of 2 382 minutes) which are affected by different sources of uncertainty due to their real nature. Therefore, 383 the EPANET2 scenarios were generated considering a 5% of uncertainty at the user water demands 384 and the pipe roughnesses. Moreover, in order to consider the measurement noise in the sensors, 385 the data from the hydraulic simulator was truncated to emulate a sensor precision of ± 0.01 m. The 386 location of the leak in E-Town is indicated in Fig. 4. 387

The application of the classification tree to the real leak data is graphically presented in Fig. 8. The green line circles indicates the subnetwork that is selected by the localization algorithm at each level of the tree. The black cross marks the location of the real leak.

391

The information from the figure can be completed by these numeric results:

- Considering the levels from (a) to (c) (the letters refer to the coding at Fig. 8), the localization
 is properly performed, as the leaky node is located in the highlighted area. This area is
 composed by a total of 34 nodes, with a mean pipe distance of 576.41 m from these nodes
 to the leaky one. Moreover, 8 clusters are formed at this level of depth of the tree, i.e., 8
 possible outcomes of the algorithm if the tree is traversed until this depth.
- 397 398

399

• At level (*d*), the localization remains correct, reducing the localization region to 16 nodes and the mean pipe distance to 357.02 m. There are 16 final clusters at this level of depth.

• Progressing to level (e), the correct localization diminishes the number of nodes to 13 and

400	the mean pipe distance to 335.18 m while the number of final clusters adds up to 29.
401	• However, at level (f) , the localization turns out to be incorrect, as the leaky node is not
402	considered in the localization area, which is formed by 11 nodes. The mean pipe distance
403	and the number of final clusters get increased to 393.62 m and 52 respectively.
404	• Considering levels from (g) to (h) , the incorrect localization continues increasing both the
405	mean pipe distance and the number of final clusters to 418.98 m and 85 respectively, whereas
406	6 nodes are included at the achieved localization region.
407	• Finally, considering the single-node level (which implies the existence of 120 clusters, one
408	per node), the pipe distance from the reached node to the leaky one is 442.01 m.
409	DISCUSSION
410	Several aspects can be discussed about the presented results:
	1 1
411	• The localization operation works properly until the (f)-level is reached, referring both Fig.
412	8 and presented numerical results. Therefore, branch (e) of the tree would correspond to
413	the localization area limit regarding the region where the real leak is located.
414	• The mean pipe distance is increased by the amount of nodes at early stages of the tree,
415	as well as the large separation between nodes of interest. For example, the pipe distance
416	between the leaky node and its neighbour from the right is 267.25 m.
417	• Regarding the final number of nodes at level (e), the search area is successfully reduced to
418	approximately a 10% of the total network. The value of 29 final clusters at this level shows
419	that the clusters of the region of the leak are quite big in comparison with the rest of the
420	network. The heterogeneity of the density of nodes per cluster at the different regions is
421	produced by the network structure and the re-training approach.
422	The performance of the proposed localization approach for the considered real leak can be
423	compared with that of a well-known model-based methodology, based on a fault sensitivity analysis
424	of the residuals (Casillas et al. 2013). The application of this strategy is represented in Fig. 9.

The light blue nodes of this figure and the red ones at level (e) of Fig. 8 correspond to a similar

region of the network, and therefore the localization result of the proposed methodology can match
 the degree of performance of a model-based state-of-the-art technique. Furthermore, the proposed
 method produces an even more accurate result:

429

• Regarding the exact localization, the model-based strategy indicates a node that is 501 m away from the real leak, while the proposed approach finds a node that is 442 m away.

431 432 • About the selected area of localization, the proposed methodology produces a more precise result due to the exclusion of outliers, whereas the model-based method highlights one.

Therefore, the aforementioned model-based method is outperformed at both the leak candidate 433 set selection and the node-level localization by the proposed methodology. Regarding these two 434 aspects, it is important to focus on the selected area of localization because it is directly related 435 to one of the novelties of the proposed approach in comparison with previous methods: the 436 hierarchical organization of the classification tree. The differences between training data (which 437 in this case is obtained from a simulator) and real measurements may introduce errors at the node-438 level localization, but the classification tree can be traversed backwards in order to search the leak 439 at a larger set of nodes, which are also guaranteed to be in the same area of the network due to 440 the topology-based clustering. This characteristic entails an advantage in comparison with other 441 methodologies: for example, in the case of the compared model-based approach, there exists an 442 outlier in the final set of most probable leak origins (the light blue point in Fig. 9 that is located out 443 of the branch where the leak occurs) that hinders the localization of the real location of the leak. 444

445 CONCLUSIONS

This article presents a leak localization approach based on image encoding, graph-based clustering and deep-learning. It uses information about the different possible leak events at a WDN, converted into images via a data encoding process, to produce a classification tree by means of a recursive clustering/learning approach. The techniques selected to perform these operations are configured and applied in customized ways to fit the necessities of the leak localization task.

451

The application of the methodology allows to naturally gain knowledge about the leak local-

ization limitations due to the sensors accuracy, placement and number, as well as network charac-452 teristics. Accordingly, the best leak localization area can be selected, as the classification tree can 453 be traversed from the first clustering of the complete network to any pre-trained intermediate state 454 until the single-node level is reached. Besides, this localization-area-based approach guarantees the 455 selection of congregated nodes due to the exploitation of the structural and topological information. 456 The method has been applied to the case of a leak at a real network, using data from the actual 457 WDN. The results are compared to the performance of another state-of-the-art technique, showing 458 improvements regarding both the leak localization area definition and the node-level operation. 459

Some tasks remain open regarding the research in this field. On the one hand, a review of the 460 different components of the methodology can be performed to find even more suitable elements to 461 substitute the current ones. On the other hand, regarding the selected methods, their configuration 462 and calibration can be improved by means of their inputs and parameters. Furthermore, extra studies 463 about the effect of uncertainty and inaccuracies at the hydraulic model, sensor measurements and 464 network characteristics can be performed in order to analyse the sensitivity of the method to those 465 aspects. Finally, it could be interesting to test the methodology considering that the hydraulic 466 model of the WDN is not available, that is, working together with a water utility to perform several 467 artificial leak experiments to gather data for the training of the DNNs. 468

469 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data, models, or code generated or used during the study are proprietary or confidential in nature and may only be provided with restrictions. Specifically, there is a hard restriction in the sharing of information about the studied network: features, location, the hydraulic information from the real leak event, etc. The associated codes could be shared upon reasonable request.

474 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors want to thank the Spanish national project DEOCS (DPI2016-76493-C3-3-R) and the Spanish State Research Agency through the María de Maeztu Seal of Excellence to IRI (MDM-2016-0656). Joaquim Blesa acknowledges the support from the Serra Húnter program.

478 **REFERENCES**

- Agarap, A. (2018). "Deep learning using rectified linear units (relu)." *Preprint arXiv:1803.08375*.
- Arifin, B., Li, Z., Shaha, S., Meyer, G., and Colin, A. (2018). "A novel data-driven leak detection
 and localization algorithm using the Kantorovich distance." *Comput. Chem. Eng.*, 108, 300–313
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2017.09.022.
- Bishop, C. (2006). "Probability distributions The exponential family." *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*, Springer, New York, NY.
- Blesa, J. and Pérez, R. (2018). "Modelling uncertainty for leak localization in water networks." *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 51(24), 730–735 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.09.656.
- Casillas, M., Garza-Castañón, L., and Puig, V. (2013). "Model-based leak detection and location in
 water distribution networks considering an extended-horizon analysis of pressure sensitivities."
 J. of Hydroinform., IWA, 16(3), 649–670 https://doi.org/10.2166/hydro.2013.019.
- ⁴⁹⁰ Chan, T. K., Chin, C. S., and Zhong, X. (2018). "Review of current technologies and proposed
 ⁴⁹¹ intelligent methodologies for water distributed network leakage detection." *IEEE Access*, 6,
 ⁴⁹² 78846–78867 https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2885444.
- Festa, P. (2006). "Shortest path algorithms." *Handbook of Optimization in Telecommunications*,
 M. Resende and P. Pardalos, eds., Springer, Boston, MA, 185–210.
- Han, Q., Eguchi, R., Mehrotra, S., and Venkatasubramanian, N. (2018). "Enabling state estimation for fault identification in water distribution systems under large disasters." *IEEE 37th Symp. on Reliable Distributed Systems (SRDS)*, IEEE, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil, 161–170.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/SRDS.2018.00027.
- Huang, Y., Zheng, F., Kapelan, Z., Savić, D., Duan, H.-F., and Zhang, Q. (2020).
 "Efficient leak localization in water distribution systems using multistage optimal valve operations and smart demand metering." *Water Resour. Res.*, 56(10), e2020WR028285
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR028285.
- ⁵⁰³ Ioffe, S. and Szegedy, C. (2015). "Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by ⁵⁰⁴ reducing internal covariate shift." *ICML'15 Proceed. 32nd Inter. Conf. on M.L.*, Vol. 37, ICML.

- Javadiha, M., Blesa, J., Soldevila, A., and Puig, V. (2019). "Leak localization in water distribution networks using deep learning." 2019 6th International Conference on Control, Decision and Information Technologies (CoDIT), IEEE, 1426–1431. https://doi.org/10.1109/CoDIT.2019.8820627.
- Kang, J., Park, Y.-J., Lee, J., Wang, S.-H., and Eom, D.-S. (2017). "Novel leakage detection by
 ensemble cnn-svm and graph-based localization in water distribution systems." *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, 65(5), 4279–4289 https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2017.2764861.
- LeChevallier, M. W., Gullick, R. W., Karim, M. R., Friedman, M., and Funk, J. E. (2003). "The potential for health risks from intrusion of contaminants into the distribution system from pressure transients." *J. Water Health*, 1(1), 3–14 https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2003.0002.
- Menapace, A., Avesani, D., Righetti, M., Bellin, A., and Pisaturo, G. (2018). "Uni formly distributed demand EPANET extension." *Water Resour. Manag.*, 32(6), 2165–2180
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-018-1924-6.
- Murphy, J. (2016). "An overview of convolutional neural network architectures for deep learning."
 Microway Inc.
- Navarro, A., Begovich, O., Delgado-Aguiñaga, J., and Sánchez, J. (2019). "Real time leak
 isolation in pipelines based on a time delay neural network." 2019 IEEE International Au *tumn Meeting on Power, Electronics and Computing (ROPEC)*, IEEE, Ixtapa, Mexico, 1–6.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/ROPEC48299.2019.9057112.
- Pemmaraju, S. and Skiena, S. (2003). "Strong and Weak Connectivity Connectivity Properties
 of Graphs." *Computational Discrete Mathematics: Combinatorics and Graph Theory with Mathematica*®, M. Resende and P. Pardalos, eds., Cambridge University Press.
- ⁵²⁷ Pérez, R., Sanz, G., Puig, V., Quevedo, J., Cugueró-Escofet, M. À., Nejjari, F., Meseguer, J.,
- Cembrano, G., Mirats-Tur, J. M., and Sarrate, R. (2014). "Leak localization in water networks: a
 model-based methodology using pressure sensors applied to a real network in Barcelona." *IEEE*
- ⁵³⁰ *Control Syst.*, 34(4), 24–36 https://doi.org/10.1109/MCS.2014.2320336.
- Pérez-Pérez, E., López-Estrada, F., Valencia-Palomo, G., Torres, L., Puig, V., and Mina-Antonio,

- J. (2021). "Leak diagnosis in pipelines using a combined artificial neural network approach." *Control Eng. Practice*, 107, 104677 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conengprac.2020.104677.
- ⁵³⁴ Puust, R., Kapelan, Z., Savić, D., and Koppel, T. (2010). "A review of meth-⁵³⁵ ods for leakage management in pipe networks." *Urban Water J.*, 7(1), 25–45 ⁵³⁶ https://doi.org/10.1080/15730621003610878.
- Qian, N. (1999). "On the momentum term in gradient descent learning algorithms." *Neural Netw.*,
 Elsevier, 12(11), 145–151 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-6080(98)00116-6.
- Rossman, L. A. (2000). *EPANET 2 User's Manual*. Washington, D.C.
- Sanz, G., Pérez, R., Kapelan, Z., and Savić, D. (2015). "Leak detection and localization through
 demand components calibration." *J. Water Resour. Plan. and Manag.*, ASCE, 142(2), 04015057
 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)WR.1943-5452.0000592.
- Savić, D. and Ferrari, G. (2014). "Design and performance of district metering areas in water distribution systems." *Procedia Eng.*, 89, 1136–1143 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2014.11.236.
- Savić, D. A., Kapelan, Z. S., and Jonkergouw, P. M. (2009). "Quo vadis water distribution model
 calibration?." *Urban Water J.*, 6(1), 3–22 https://doi.org/10.1080/15730620802613380.
- Sokal, R. and Michener, C. (1958). "A statistical method for evaluating systematic relationships."
 Univ. Kansas, Sci. Bull., 38, 1409–1438.
- Soldevila, A., Blesa, J., Jensen, T. N., Tornil-Sin, S., Fernández-Cantí, R. M., and
 Puig, V. (2020). "Leak localization method for water-distribution networks using a
 data-driven model and Dempster-Shafer reasoning." *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.* https://doi.org/10.1109/TCST.2020.2982349.
- Sophocleous, S., Savić, D., and Kapelan, Z. (2019). "Leak localization in a real water distribution
 network based on search-space reduction." *J. Water Resour. Plan. and Manag.*, ASCE, 145(7),
 04019024 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)WR.1943-5452.0001079.
- Wang, D., Zheng, Y., and Cao, J. (2012). "Parallel construction of approximate knn graph." *11th Inter. Symp. on Distrib. Comput. and App. to Business, Eng. & Sc.*, IEEE, 22–26.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/DCABES.2012.87.

- Wang, Z. and Oates, T. (2015). "Imaging time-series to improve classification and imputation."
 24th Inter. Joint Conf. on Artif. Int., IJCAI, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 3939–3945.
- Xu, Q., Liu, R., Chen, Q., and Li, R. (2014). "Review on water leakage control in distribu tion networks and the associated environmental benefits." *J. Environ. Sci.*, 26(5), 955–961
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(13)60569-0.
- W., "Agglomerative Zhang, Zhao, D., Wang, Х. (2013). clustering and via 564 maximum incremental path integral." Pattern Recognit., 46(11), 3056-3065 565 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patcog.2013.04.013. 566
- Zhou, X., Tang, Z., Xu, W., Meng, F., Chu, X., Xin, K., and Fu, G. (2019). "Deep learning
 identifies accurate burst locations in water distribution networks." *Water Res.*, 166, 115058
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.115058.

570 List of Figures

571	1	Deep neural network structure.	25
572	2	Flow chart of the methodology steps for the learning (off-line) stage	26
573	3	Flow chart of the methodology steps for the application (online) stage	27
574	4	Schematic representation of E-Town.	28
575	5	A comparison among the GAF images associated to eight different leak events is	
576		presented. In appearing order: (a) Node 4, (b) Node 29, (c) Node 39, (d) Node 41,	
577		(e) Node 43, (f) Node 58, (g) Node 85 and (h) Node 94	29
578	6	Location of the considered nodes for the GAF demonstration	30
579	7	Clustering results for E-Town until 8 clusters are achieved.	31
580	8	Graphical summary of the localization process performance: (a) Complete network,	
581		(b) Subnetwork of the cluster selected from (a), (c) Subnetwork of the cluster	
582		selected form (b) , (d) Subnetwork of the cluster selected form (c) , (e) Subnetwork	
583		of the cluster selected form (d) , (f) Subnetwork of the cluster selected form (e) , (g)	
584		Subnetwork of the cluster selected form (f) , (h) Subnetwork of the cluster selected	
585		form (g)	32
586	9	Result of the application of a model-based approach to the leak localization of the	
587		real leak. (a) Correlations map - the bluer the node, the higher the correlation, and	
588		hence, the higher the probability of the node to be the origin of the leak. (b) Zoom	
589		of the most probable leak area	33



Fig. 1. Deep neural network structure.



Fig. 2. Flow chart of the methodology steps for the learning (off-line) stage.



Fig. 3. Flow chart of the methodology steps for the application (online) stage.



Fig. 4. Schematic representation of E-Town.



Fig. 5. A comparison among the GAF images associated to eight different leak events is presented. In appearing order: (a) Node 4, (b) Node 29, (c) Node 39, (d) Node 41, (e) Node 43, (f) Node 58, (g) Node 85 and (h) Node 94.



Fig. 6. Location of the considered nodes for the GAF demonstration.



Fig. 7. Clustering results for E-Town until 8 clusters are achieved.



Fig. 8. Graphical summary of the localization process performance: (a) Complete network, (b) Subnetwork of the cluster selected from (a), (c) Subnetwork of the cluster selected form (b), (d) Subnetwork of the cluster selected form (c), (e) Subnetwork of the cluster selected form (d), (f) Subnetwork of the cluster selected form (e), (g) Subnetwork of the cluster selected form (f), (h) Subnetwork of the cluster selected form (g).



Fig. 9. Result of the application of a model-based approach to the leak localization of the real leak. (a) Correlations map - the bluer the node, the higher the correlation, and hence, the higher the probability of the node to be the origin of the leak. (b) Zoom of the most probable leak area.